

A DOCPHOENIX

### **APPL PARTS**

Internal Misc. Paper
LET.
Misc. Incoming Letter
371P
PCT Papers in a 371Application
<b>A</b>
Amendment Including Elections
ABST
Abstract
ADS
Application Data Sheet
AF/D
Affidavit or Exhibit Received
APPENDIX
Appendix
ARTIFACT
Artifact
BIB
Bib Data Sheet
CL M
Claim CLM
COMPUTER
Computer Program Listing
CRFL
All CRF Papers for Backfile
DIST
Terminal Disclaimer Filed
DRW
Drawings
FOR
Foreign Reference
FRPR
Foreign Priority Papers
IDS
IDS IDS Including 1449

6/26/03

NPL
Non-Patent Literature
OATH
Oath or Declaration
PET
Petition
RETMAIL
Mail Returned by USPS
SEQLIST
Sequence Listing
SPEC
Specification
SPEC NO
Specification Not in English
TRNA
Transmittal New Application

3 1/12/02 CTNF 6
CTRS
Count Restriction EXIN
Examiner Interview
M903
DO/EO Acceptance
M905
DO/EO Missing Requirement
NFDR
Formal Drawing Required
NOA
Notice of Allowance
PETDEC
Petition Decision

## **OUTGOING**

CTMS <u>*</u>
Misc. Office Action
1449
Signed 1449
892
892
ABN
Abandonment
APDEC
Board of Appeals Decision
APEA
Examiner Answer
CTAV
Count Advisory Action
CTEQ
Count Ex parte Quayle
CTFR
Count Final Rejection

# **INCOMING**

AP.B
Appeal Brief
C.AD
Change of Address
N/AP
Notice of Appeal
PA
Change in Power of Attorney
REM
Applicant Remarks in Amendment
XT/
Extension of Time filed separate

Internal	EVIDENCE COPY Box Identification		
	Evidence Copy box identification		
SRNT	WCLM		
Examiner Search Notes	Claim Worksheet		
CLMPTO	WFEE		
PTO Prepared Complete Claim Set	Eac Worksheat		

ECBOX	
Evidence Copy Box Identification	
WCLM	
Claim Worksheet	
WFEE	
Fee Worksheet	

File	Wrapper

File Wrapper Claim			
IIFW			
File Wrapper Issue Information			
SRFW			
File Wrapper Search Info			



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,458		07/05/2001	Carmen Almansa	1604-129	7335
6449	7590	12/31/2002			
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER		
			SAEED, KAMAL A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1626	
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	_	09/807,458	ALMANSA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kamal A Saeed	1626	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
Period fo	• •			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status 1)⊠	Pennensiya to communication(s) filed on 20 S	antambar 2002		
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 S</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This	s action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		accounting as to the accounts to	
.—	closed in accordance with the practice under E			
·	on of Claims			
	Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 20-40</u> is/are pending in the a			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>30-40</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 20-29</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) L	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
	he specification is objected to by the Examiner			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		niner	
,,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
11)∐ T		is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapprov	, ,	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl		,	
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)∑	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(				
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Tra	demark Office	<del></del>	<del></del>	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1, 4, and 10-12 have been amended. Claims 14-19 are have been cancelled by amendment and claims 23-40 have been added by amendment. Therefore, claims 1-13 and 20-40 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1-13 in part and claims 30-40 are withdrawn from further consideration to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to non elected subject matter.

Receipt is acknowledged of Amendment B, filed september 30/2002, which has been entered in the file.

### Response to Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, directed to compounds of formula I, wherein R<sub>2</sub> is phenyl or naphthyl, the method of preparing the compounds and a method for treating diseases mediated by cyclooxygenase in paper No. 3 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that each of the elected Group could be extended to other groups without imposing an undue burden on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because each of the various Groups is directed to art recognized compounds and processes which are different from each other and, are capable of supporting their own patents. Moreover, the examiner is required to conduct a commercial data base search on each invention which is extremely burdensome.

Therefore, the restriction requirement in paper No. 2 as amended is deemed proper and made FINAL.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1626

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicants preserve their right to file a divisional on the non-elected subject matter.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a), which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections, set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 and 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weir et al. WO 9603387.

Applicants claim imidazole compounds with anti-inflammatory activity.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Weier et al teach 4,5-substituted imidazolyl compounds and their use as antiinflammatory agents.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

Broadly, the compounds taught by Weier et al are isomers of applicant's claims wherein  $\mathbf{R_1}$  is methyl,  $\mathbf{R_2}$  is halogen substituted phenyl,  $\mathbf{R_3}$  is  $C_{1-8}$  alkyl,  $\mathbf{X}$  is C and  $\mathbf{Y}$  is N (See CAS ABSTRACT RN# 177755-75-8 and 177755-76-9). One of the difference between the claimed compounds and that of the reference herein lie in that these compounds are positional isomers i.e. the position of attachment of the halogen substituted phenyl group in the prior art is through carbon (see CAS ABSTRACT RN 212630-39-2, 212630-38-1, 212630-40-5, Copy attached)

Application/Control Number: 09/807,458

Art Unit: 1626

while this application teaches a N-attachment of the substituted phenyl ring. The claimed compounds are so closely related structurally to the compounds of the reference as to be structurally obvious, in the absence of any unobvious ness or unexpected properties. Nothing unobvious is seen in substituting the known claimed isomer for the structurally similar isomer, as taught by Bridges et al, since such structurally related compounds suggest one another and would be expected to share common properties absent a showing of unexpected results. In re Norris, 84 USPQ 458 (1950).

# Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

Wieier et al are analogous art because Weier et al compounds possess similar activity. One skilled in the art would expect that the instant claims which are isomers to the Wieier compounds i.e. C-attachment of halogen substituted phenyl group Vs N-attachment of halogen substituted phenyl group, prima facie. The motivation to make claimed compound derives from the expectation that structurally similar compounds are generally expected to have similar properties and have similar utilities. In re Gyurik, 596 F. 2d 1012, 201 USPQ 552 (CCPA 1979). The explicit generic teaching of Wieier et al together with the enabled examples with variations in the position of attachment of the halogen substituted phenyl group would have motivated one skilled in the art to modify the known compounds with such generic teaching with the expectation that they would all have similar activity as taught by Wieier et al.

## Claim Objections

Claims 1-13 are objected to for containing non-elected subject matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamal Saeed whose telephone number is (703) 308-4592. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.